Application No. Applicant(s) 10/014,774 D'AZZO ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 1652 Christian L Fronda All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Christian L Fronda. Christian L. Janda (3) Paul Fehlner. (2) Paul Zagar. (4)____ Date of Interview: 13 October 2004. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: 9-17 and 19-21. Identification of prior art discussed: Nakamura et al. (Oncogene. 1998 Feb 26; 16(8): 1009-19) and Lee et al. (Accession AA800025). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. hSubstance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The rejection of the claims under 35 USC 101, 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph, 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph, and 35 USC 102(b)were discussed. Claim amendments and arguments were discussed which may over come the stated rejections of record. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required